

5		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		)
)	DUTY ON WHICH ENGAGED:	COURT OF INQUIRY, INVESTIGATING OFFI	<u>CER</u>	
)		OR COMMANDING OFFICER'S REPORT:	Court of Inquiry.	
)	NATURE OF ACCIDENT AND STAGE OF FERSE. SECTO	22-5-42 22-5-42		)
)	Pupil pilot taxiing out from apron	COMPOSITION:	•	)
)	failed to see workmen (two) raking asphalt in centre of narrow passage	F.L. E.W.C. Sharpe(C2797) F.O. H.V.L. Tubbs (77262)	#2 T.C. H.Q. Winnipeg, Man. #35 E.F.T.S. Neepawa, Man.	)
<i>)</i> )	and hit one with port wing, other with propellor.	RECOMMENDATIONS:		)
)	- •	That the safety precaution		3
)	PRIMARY CAUSE:	Conditions and Control of out at all times.	Work be strictly carried	ر ک
) ) ,	Inadequate precautions by either the Department of Transport or the Gentracting Company.			
)	COULISIONS	ACTION TAKEN:  (A) DISCIPLINARY (B) TECHNICAL (C) OTHER PREVENTATIVE ACTION  CONTRACTORS, C.F.I. AND DUTY PILOT AGAIN INSTRUCTED TO MAINTAIN CON-		
)	(4)	TACT AND STOP ALL FLYING TILL WORLD CONCLUSIONS OF A.I.B. THIS ACCIDED	K PROGRAMMES ARE ARRANGED. NT WAS CAUSED BY THE FAILURE OF THE	) )
)		TIONS OF ITS CONTRACT REQUIRING IT	VISIONS OF PARA. 8 OF THE SPECIFICA-	)
)	SECONDARY CAUSE OR CONTRIBUTING FACTORS:	TO KEEP ALL PERSONNEL AND EQUIPMENT OFF THE EXISTING LANDING STRIP.  (2) THE RESIDENT ENGINEER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, FAILED		
)	Port wing struck workmen and	TO NOTIFY C.F.I., AS PREVIOUSLY AF	RRANGED, OF WORK BEING DONE ON DATE	)
)	propellor struck the other workman.	··· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··		)
)	Inability of pilot to see workmen.		CHECKED BY DATE	Ć
)	) / ) ) ) <b>) ) ) ) ) ) )</b>			5