

	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
DUTY ON WHICH ENGAGED:	COURT OF INQUIRY, INVESTIGATING OFFICER
Wireless training flight.	OR COMMANDING OFFICER'S REPORT:
, "Ilolose vigining llight.	INVESTIGATING OFFICER'S REPORT.
) NATURE OF ACCIDENT AND STAGE OF FLIGHT:	DATE: 21st to 25th of July, 1942.
A/C taxiing after landing on runway	
struck city hydro truck parked clos	
to right side of runway, A/C swung	
STBD and wheel hit workman repairing	ng)
runway lights.	RECOMMENDATIONS: - NIL.
,	ACTION TAKEN: - NIL.
,	CONCLUSIONS OF A. I.B.:-
PRIMARY CAUSE:	IT IS CONSIDERED THAT NO BLAME SHOULD BE ATTACHED TO R.C.A.F. PERSONNEL)
Truck parked too close to runway	
in use at time of accident	THE DISPUTE AS TO LIABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY LIES BETWEEN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG HYDRO AND THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT.
Civilians Repairing runway lights.	IT IS CONSIDERED THAT THE HYDRO EMPLOYEES WHO WERE INJURED WERE MORKING
9. Cellisions	AND THE RUNWAY AND SHOULD HAVE KEPT AN EYE ON ALL WAX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
) 7.	WELL CLEAR OF AIRCRAFT 3621.
f	THE ARRANGEMENTS FOR MEN WORKING IN THE FIELD AND FOR SIGNALS AND RE- PORTS AND NOTIFICATION OF AERODROME CONDITION TO THOSE USING THE FIELD
/	AND RUNWAYS WAS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT.
;	SHOULD A CLAIM FOR DAMAGES BE FILED AGAINST THE R.C.A.F. THEN THE R.C.A.F. SHOULD DISPUTE THE CLAIM, JOIN THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AS A THIRD PARTY AND COUNTER CLAIM FOR DAMAGES DONE TO R.C.A.F. AIRCRAFT.
) SECONDARY CAUSE OR CONTRIBUTING FACTORS:	THIRD PARTY AND COUNTER CLAIM FOR DAMAGES DONE TO R.C.A.F. AIRCRAFT.
A/C struck truck and workman.	
1170	RECORDED BY DATE
)	
) R.C.A.F. FORM L20 REQ. 1247 10M-15-11-41	CHECKED BY DATE